1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III JEFFREY A. SPIVAK Assistant United States Attorneys 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6	Attorneys for the United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-cr-00214 NODJ-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO RESET CHANGE
13	v.	<u>OF PLEA HEARING; FINDINGS AND ORDER</u>
14	TERRANCE JOHN COX,	Date: January 21, 2025
15	Defendant.	Time: 9:30 a.m. Judge: Hon. Troy L. Nunley Courtroom No. 5
16		_ Courtiooni No. 3
17	STIPULATION	
18	The United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for change of plea on January 21, 2025 at 9:30	
21	a.m.	
22	2. By this stipulation, the parties no	ow move to continue and reset the matter for change
23 24	of plea before the Court for February 3, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. , and to exclude time between the date of	
25		
26	this stipulation and February 3, 2025 under 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), B(iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4].	
27		and request that the Court find the following:
28	a. On January 15, 2025, the parties	were made aware that the January 21, 2025 change

of plea hearing will be reset to February 3, 2025. The parties request that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., from the date of this stipulation through and including February 3, 2025, to allow defendant to continue to consult with counsel, and to prepare for and attend a change of plea hearing, and for the Court's consideration of forthcoming plea documents.

- c. Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance/time exclusion would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d. The government does not object to, agrees to, and jointly requests the continuance/time exclusion.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of the date of this stipulation to February 3, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4] because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: January 17, 2025

By: /s/Mark W. Coleman MARK W. COLEMAN Attorney for Defendant TERRANCE JOHN COX DATED: January 17, 2025

/s/ Henry Z. Carbajal III

HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III
Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of the date of this stipulation to February 3, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4] because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: January 17, 2025

Troy L. Nunley

Chief United States District Judge